

1. Introduction

Retinal hemodynamics is strongly influenced by vascular autoregulation. This mechanism is necessary to maintain an approximately constant flow rate in the microcirculation when acute changes in the pressure occur, and it is present in various tissues and organs.¹ Failure or impairment of autoregulation in the retina has been associated with various diseases, for instance, diabetic retinopathy and glaucoma.^{2,3,4}

In the retina, this phenomenon has been studied both in animals⁵ and in humans.^{6,7,8,9,10,11} Retinal vessels contract or relax in response to a change in the perfusion pressure or to a specific metabolic need. However, the mechanisms underlying the metabolic pathways that trigger vessel contraction or relaxation are still under investigation.

Autoregulation has been modeled on 0D networks of arterioles¹² and also specifically in the retina.¹³ The present work is an initial attempt to address this phenomenon with 3D patient-specific networks, focusing on the mechanical aspects.

This work is not motivated by any specific clinical application. Its goal is to propose a first step toward a 3D model of the hemodynamics of the eye. Even if existing 0D models can provide valuable information, we believe that 3D models can be useful to better understand the complex mechanical interactions which occur within the eye. With modern segmentation tools, patient-specific vasculature can be automatically reconstructed from retinal fundus images. With 3D models, it will be possible to use these rich data to address new issues where geometry plays an important role. For instance, it could be interesting to investigate the blood flow when a dysfunction occurs in a very localized part of the retina. Venular-arteriolar communication¹⁴ gives another example where a precise representation of the geometry would also be useful. Nowadays, it is even possible to acquire video of the retinal vasculature showing the pulsatility of the arterial wall. A data assimilation procedure in a 3D fluid-structure model could allow us to estimate the local mechanical properties of the vessel, as was done for the aorta.^{15,16}

The numerical simulation of autoregulation in 3D requires a system of equations that model the mechanical interaction between the blood and the arterial wall. Various approaches have been proposed in the literature to address this problem in large arteries. The most complete models are based on the nonlinear elastodynamics equation coupled with the Navier-Stokes equations set in a moving domain. We refer, for example, to the monograph by Fernández and Gerbeau¹⁷ or to one of the many others available^{18,19,20,21}, to name but a few. These models are very demanding from a computational viewpoint and are valid for large displacements, which is not always necessary, especially in small arteries. Less expensive approaches have been proposed, where the arterial wall equation is drastically simplified.^{22,23,24} The model used in the present work adopts this latter approach, but it introduces new features, such as active fibers, which are useful when addressing the autoregulation problem. In the study by Colciago *et al.*²⁵ the authors observed that using simplified models

on image-based geometry might cause numerical instabilities, due to inaccurate approximations of the normals and the principal curvatures of the surface. A side effect of including fibers in this model is the improvement of the numerical stability, owing to a Laplace operator added to the structure equation. This model has been recently proposed in the study by Aletti *et al.*,²⁶ where a complete derivation and the numerical schemes can be found. The novelty of the present work lies in its modeling the autoregulation mechanism and the numerical simulation of this phenomenon in patient-specific retinal arteries. The numerical tests are carried out on a portion of the retinal vasculature consisting of 25 arterial segments located in the inferior-temporal quadrant and reconstructed from a retinal fundus image.

The structure of the work is as follows: in Section 2, the fluid-structure framework is introduced; Section 3 addresses the structural model, with special emphasis on the fibers. Section 4 deals with the autoregulation mechanism and Section 5 presents the numerical results. Section 6 presents some limitations of the study and the conclusion.

2. Fluid-structure coupling: main modeling assumptions

The first modeling assumption is to neglect the convective terms in the fluid momentum equation. This approximation is justified since, by considering a maximum vessel diameter $D = 200 \mu\text{m}$, a velocity $v = 5 \text{ cm/s}$ and a kinematic viscosity $\nu = 0.04 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, we obtain a Reynolds number of 2.5. The second main assumption is to suppose that the blood behaves as an homogeneous Newtonian fluid, which is questionable since microvessels are considered.^{27,28} We make this hypothesis for the sake of simplicity because it is assumed not to affect too much the autoregulation, which is the mechanism this work focuses on.

The domain Ω_t in which the fluid flows, is in general, time-dependent, since the wall is an elastic structure in interaction with the fluid. The boundary $\partial\Omega_t$ is subdivided into two subsets: Γ_t , which is the interface between the fluid and the structure, and Σ_t , representing the artificial boundaries of the domain where inlet and outlet boundary conditions are enforced. Considering the small displacements of the retinal arteries wall, the domain Ω_t is considered to have a fixed reference configuration denoted by Ω . A schematic representation of this setting is given in Fig. 1. This approximation considerably reduces the computational cost. The fluid equations are therefore:

$$\begin{cases} \rho^f \partial_t \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}^f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{u} is the velocity, ρ^f is the fluid density and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^f = \mu^f (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T) - p \mathbf{I}$ is the fluid stress tensor, where μ^f is the dynamic viscosity and p is the pressure.

The velocity on the fixed fluid-structure interface Γ is obtained by a Taylor expansion. This approach is known as a ‘transpiration condition’. In the literature, this is




Figure 1: The fluid domain Ω_t is within the two curved lines, however the equations are solved on the fixed computational domain Ω depicted in gray. The displacement field η , which depends on time, maps Γ into Γ_t .

usually a zero-th order expansion. Here, a first order transpiration condition is adopted in order to compute the variation of the flow induced by the wall dynamics, which is important in order to model autoregulation. The main geometrical assumption is that the normal to the structure \mathbf{n} is constant in time. Moreover, the kinematics of the vessel wall is assumed to be, at each time, parallel to the normal. With $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ denoting the displacement of the wall, the following holds:

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \eta \mathbf{n}, \quad \forall t. \quad (2)$$

Two conditions have to be satisfied on the fluid-structure interface Γ_t : the continuity of the velocity and the continuity of the stress. Since the structure displacement is assumed to be parallel to the normal direction, the equations for the continuity of the velocity are, for all $x \in \Gamma$, $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n})|_{x+\eta(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{x+\eta(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})} = \partial_t \eta$. The balance of the normal component of the normal stress gives $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{nn}}^f|_{x+\eta(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})} = -f^s - p_{iop}$, where p_{iop} denotes the external pressure acting on the structure, in this case the intra ocular pressure, and f^s represents the stress coming from the structure.

The simplifying assumptions on the structure dynamics, which will be detailed in the following section, allow us to treat the structure equations as a boundary condition for the fluid problem.

The equations for the coupled system are written in weak form, on a fixed domain. Let \mathbf{v} , q , χ , \mathbf{w} be test functions defined in suitable functional spaces according to the boundary conditions of the problem. In particular let $\mathbf{u}(t)$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$, let $p(t)$ and $q \in M$, where $V = \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$ and $M = H^1(\Omega)$. Then:

$$\begin{cases} \langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_\Omega + a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + b(p, \mathbf{v}) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, t > 0 \\ \langle \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, q \rangle_\Omega = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, t > 0 \\ \rho_s h_s \langle \partial_{tt}^2 \eta, \chi \rangle_\Gamma + \Psi^s(\eta, \chi) + \langle p_{iop}, \chi \rangle_\Gamma = \langle p + \eta \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n}, \chi \rangle_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma \\ \langle \partial_t \eta, \chi \rangle_\Gamma = \langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \eta \nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \chi \rangle_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma \\ \langle (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{u} + \eta \nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{n}), \mathbf{w} \rangle_\Gamma = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The forms a, b read:

$$\begin{aligned} a : \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, & a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &= \nu^f \langle \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T, \nabla \mathbf{v} \rangle_\Omega & \forall (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V} \\ b : M \times \mathbf{V} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, & b(p, \mathbf{v}) &= -\langle p, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \rangle_\Omega & \forall (p, \mathbf{v}) \in M \times \mathbf{V}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Omega$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma$ denote the standard scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$ and in $L^2(\Gamma)$, respectively and ν^f is the kinematic viscosity. It should be noted that in this framework the structure dynamics is embedded as a boundary condition of the fluid problem. The system in Eq.(3) is discretised by means of finite elements (P1-P1, with a SUPG stabilisation) and by a mixed semi-implicit scheme in time. All the details of the implementation of this approach are provided in the study by Aletti *et al.*²⁶

Remark 1. *Numerically, the tangential velocity sometimes exhibits oscillations on the fluid-structure interface, especially on complex geometries. The reason for these oscillations is not completely understood. They might be due to the approximation of the normals and the curvatures, as already noted by Colciago *et al.*²⁵, or to the first order transpiration terms adopted in our approach. We observed that this problem can be alleviated by the following consistent stabilization term:*

$$\langle (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{u} + \eta \nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{n}), \mathbf{w} \rangle_\Gamma = -\beta \langle h \nabla (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{w} \rangle_\Gamma \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad (5)$$

where $\beta \geq 0$ is the stabilization coefficient and h is the surface element size.

3. Modeling the vessel wall dynamics

Retinal arteriolar structure consists of a thin layer of endothelium layer and a layer of smooth muscle cells which is more developed with respect to vessels of the same size in other organs.²⁸ From a modeling perspective, the wall is considered as an elastic shell, so as to render the behavior of the endothelium, and several fiber layers to model the smooth muscles.

This section is organised as follows. After introducing the notation, the model of the structure is presented in its general form, as it appears in system (3). The model for the endothelium is described, followed by a presentation of the fiber layer. First a derivation of a constitutive law for the smooth muscle fibers is presented. This constitutive law is then used to close the kinematical and mechanical description of the fiber layer surrounding the endothelium.

3.1 Notation

Let Γ be the reference position of the vessel wall, *i.e.*, the position at which it is in a normal state of equilibrium, without external influences. The geometrical configuration of Γ is described by a regular map Φ such that $\xi \in \omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \boldsymbol{x} = \Phi(\xi) \in \Gamma$. Let \boldsymbol{A} be the first fundamental form and \boldsymbol{B} the second fundamental form associated with the reference configuration Γ . Let $\boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{B}$ be the representation of the shape operator. The eigenvalues of the shape operator are the principal curvatures of the surface Γ , the mean curvature being the average of the principal curvatures and the Gaussian curvature being their product. The surface parametrization is denoted by Greek letters and the curvilinear coordinates domain is denoted by $\omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$.

3.2 Equations for the structure dynamics

The equations for the structure dynamics, appearing as a boundary condition of the system (3), are obtained by adding the inertia terms to the elastic energy of the structure. In particular, the dynamics equations in weak form can be written as:

$$\int_{\omega} \rho^s h^s (\partial_{tt}^2 \eta) \chi \sqrt{a} d\xi + \Psi^s(\eta, \chi) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where the thickness of the structure is denoted by h^s and its density by ρ^s . The form Ψ^s describes the behavior of the structure. It is considered as the sum of several contributions:

$$\Psi^s = \Psi^\kappa + \Psi^{\boldsymbol{w}} + \Psi^{\boldsymbol{v}}, \quad (7)$$

where Ψ^κ , defined in Eq.(14), represents the contribution of the endothelium and $\Psi^{\boldsymbol{w}}$, $\Psi^{\boldsymbol{v}}$, defined in Eq.(35), represent the contribution of the fibers aligned in the directions \boldsymbol{w} and \boldsymbol{v} respectively.

Each of these terms is analyzed in detail in the following part of this section. In general, all the weak forms are derived as follows: given an elastic model and the corresponding energy, the equilibrium configuration for the structure can be seen as the stationary point of the energy functional:

$$\Psi(\eta, \chi) := \langle \delta_\eta \psi(\eta), \chi \rangle_\Gamma = 0, \quad (8)$$

where δ_η denotes the Frechet derivative with respect to η and ψ is the elastic energy.

3.3 The endothelium layer

A nonlinear Koiter shell model is adopted to describe the endothelium dynamics. The equations and a detailed mathematical derivation are presented in the work by Ciarlet.²⁹ The choice of this nonlinear model, rather than the simpler linear version, is motivated by the consistency with the fiber layer description. In particular, when the fiber kinematics (see Eq.(31)) is described, some nonlinear contributions arise. The

terms appearing in the Koiter model that have the same order with respect to the displacement field η have thus to be kept. The displacement field, as pointed out in Eq.(2), is parallel to the normal to the reference configuration.

The simplifying hypotheses from a mechanical point of view are the following:

- the bending terms are negligible;
- the material is linear, isotropic and homogeneous.

The equilibrium configuration is the stationary point of the energy functional:²⁹

$$\psi^\kappa(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} g_{\sigma\tau}(\eta) g_{\alpha\beta}(\eta) h_\kappa \sqrt{a} d\xi - \int_{\omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \eta h^\kappa \sqrt{a} d\xi, \quad (9)$$

where $\sqrt{a} = \sqrt{\det(A)}$, h^κ is the shell thickness and \mathbf{f} are the external forces, $g(\eta)$ is the change of metric tensor. The properties of the material are contained in the elastic tensor \mathcal{E} , whose contravariant components read:

$$\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} = \frac{4\lambda^s \mu^s}{\lambda^s + 2\mu^s} A^{\alpha\beta} A^{\sigma\tau} + 2\mu^s A^{\alpha\sigma} A^{\beta\tau} + 2\mu^s A^{\alpha\tau} A^{\beta\sigma}, \quad (10)$$

where λ^s, μ^s are the Lamé coefficients of the structure.

By exploiting the hypothesis of normal displacement (see Eq.(2)), the expression for the change of metric tensor becomes:

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = -B_{\alpha\beta}\eta + \frac{1}{2} A^{\sigma\tau} B_{\sigma\alpha} B_{\tau\beta} \eta^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha \eta \partial_\beta \eta, \quad (11)$$

where the derivative with respect to the Greek letters denotes the derivation with respect to the surface parametrization.

The form Ψ^κ describing the equilibrium of the nonlinear Koiter shell model under the assumptions made reads:

$$\Psi^\kappa(\eta, \chi) := \frac{2E}{1-\nu^2} \int_{\omega} (c_1\eta - 3c_2\eta^2 + 2c_3\eta^3) \chi - 2\nabla\chi^T (C_1\eta + C_2\eta^2) \nabla\eta + \quad (12)$$

$$-\nabla^T \eta [(C_1 + 2C_2\eta) \chi] \nabla\eta + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla\eta^T A^{-1} \nabla\eta) \nabla^T \chi A^{-1} \nabla\eta h^\kappa \sqrt{a} d\xi - \quad (13)$$

$$\int_{\omega} \mathbf{f}_n \cdot \chi h^\kappa \sqrt{a} d\xi, \quad (14)$$

where E is the Young modulus of the material, ν the Poisson coefficient, the constant tensors (C_j) and the coefficients (c_k) are expressed as functions of the mean

and Gaussian curvatures (respectively ρ_1 and ρ_2) and the Poisson ratio as follows:

$$c_1 = 4\rho_1^2 - 2(1 - \nu)\rho_2, \quad (15)$$

$$c_2 = 4\rho_1^3 + (\nu - 3)\rho_1\rho_2, \quad (16)$$

$$c_3 = 4\rho_1^4 - 4\rho_1^2\rho_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu)\rho_2^2, \quad (17)$$

$$C_1 = \left[\nu\rho_1 I + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \nu)S \right] A^{-1}, \quad (18)$$

$$C_2 = \left[\nu\rho_1^2 I + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \nu)S^2 \right] A^{-1}. \quad (19)$$

Notice that, at the first order, the endothelium behaves pointwise as a spring with constant c_1 . However the overall behavior can be roughly considered as the sum of two contributions: a nonlinear spring and a nonlinear membrane. More details about this derivation can be found in the study by Aletti *et al.*²⁶

3.4 The smooth muscle cells model

In this section, a model describing the behavior of the smooth muscle cells (SMC) is investigated. The resulting model is a 1D idealisation of the SMC muscle fibers. The equations derived in the present section are used as a constitutive law to close the model for the fiber layers.

The layer of SMCs, which in large vessels is also responsible for adaptive changes in the stiffness, has the ability to contract or relax following electrochemical stimuli in order to regulate the blood flow (see, e.g., studies by Murtada *et al.* and Milnor *et al.*^{30,31})

There is no autonomic innervation in the retinal vasculature.³² This implies that the regulation is carried out by mechanisms that take place locally in the eye.³³ The contraction of the smooth muscle cells is controlled by the concentration of calcium ions, which trigger the phosphorylation of myosin light chains.¹ The different chemical pathways are not investigated in the present work and we make the simplifying assumption that the concentration of calcium ions depends only on changes of the pressure. However, if more sophisticated models are available they can be included to relate the concentration of calcium ions to the other mechanisms.

A chemical state model of the smooth muscle cells has been proposed by Hai and Murphy³⁴. This model takes four chemical species into account: myosin (M), phosphorylated myosin (M_p), phosphorylated actin myosin cross-bridge (AM_p) and unphosphorylated actin myosin cross-bridge (AM). It describes the evolution of the concentrations ($\alpha_M, \alpha_{M_p}, \alpha_{AM}, \alpha_{AM_p}$) of those species with a linear system of differential equations $\dot{\alpha} = \mathbf{K}\alpha$ under the constraint $\alpha_M + \alpha_{M_p} + \alpha_{AM} + \alpha_{AM_p} = 1$, where \mathbf{K} depends on the concentration of calcium ions.

The chemical model by Hai and Murphy³⁴ was used by Yang *et al.*^{35,36} to describe the myogenic response. More recently, the approach by Yang *et al.* has been extended

to a continuum mechanics framework.^{37,30} Such models have also been coupled with a membrane model to compute the concentration of calcium ions as a response to external stimuli.³⁸ The overall mechanism is reproduced in the following way: an external stimulus causes a change in calcium concentration which alters the chemical state of the SMC. Once the chemical state is known, it is possible to compute the active component of the forces in the cell and thus its mechanical behavior.

In what follows, we refer to the model of Yang *et al.*^{35,36} and propose a further simplification in order to derive a constitutive equation for a 1D fiber. In the model presented in the first study by Yang *et al.*,³⁵ the mechanics of a single SMC is described by two elements in parallel: a spring characterized by an exponential force-length relationship (to describe the passive structural behavior of the overall cell) and an active element for the cross-bridges. The total force is given by

$$F = F_{cell} + F_{cb}, \quad (20)$$

where *cb* stands for cross-bridges. The active element is itself made of three elements in series which model the active force of the actin-myosin cross-bridges, their passive elasticity properties and the viscous effects, respectively. The total length of the active element L_{cb} can be expressed as the sum of the length of these three components:

$$L_{cb} = L_{cb,a} + L_{cb,el} + L_{cb,visc}, \quad (21)$$

where *a*, *el*, *visc* stand for active, elastic and viscous, respectively. The length of the cell and of the active element representing the cross-bridges are the same and they are equal to the total length L .

$$L = L_{cell} = L_{cb}. \quad (22)$$

The system of equations is closed by observing that the elements in series have the same force:

$$F_{cb,a} = F_{cb,el} = F_{cb,visc}. \quad (23)$$

Finally, considering the constitutive laws of the three components of the active element, it is possible to solve the system and obtain the total force. Such force depends on the total length of the cell, on its time derivative and also on the cell chemical state described by the proportion of phosphorylated and dephosphorylated actin-myosin:

$$F = F\left(L, \frac{dL}{dt}, \alpha_{AM}, \alpha_{AM_p}\right). \quad (24)$$

This expression can be simplified if the visco-elastic effects are negligible compared to the elastic effects. In such a case the total force is a function of only the total length and the concentration of phosphorylated actin-myosin:

$$F = F(L, \alpha_{AM_p}). \quad (25)$$




Figure 2: Scheme of the equivalent circuit describing the model by Yang et al.^{35,36} when viscous effects are neglected.

Its expression can be obtained by solving the following system whose equivalent circuit is depicted in Fig.2:

$$\begin{cases} F_{cell} &= k_{cell}(e^{\alpha_{cell}(\frac{L}{L_0}-1)} - 1) \\ F_{cb,a} &= f_{AM_p} \alpha_{AM_p} e^{-b(\frac{L_{cb,a}}{L_{opt}}-1)^2} \\ F_{cb,el} &= k_{el} \left(e^{\alpha_{el}(\frac{L_{cb,el}}{L_{cb,el,0}}-1)} - 1 \right) \\ F_{cb,a} &= F_{cb,el} \\ F &= F_{cell} + F_{cb,a} \\ L &= L_{cb,a} + L_{cb,el}, \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

where the first three equations represent the constitutive law of the cell, the active part of the cross-bridges and their elastic part. Therefore, the parameters $k_{cell}, \alpha_{cell}, L_0, f_{AM_p}, b, L_{opt}, k_{el}, \alpha_{el}$ and $L_{cb,el,0}$ describe the structural properties of the SMC. The last three equations are obtained by combining equations (20),(21),(22) and (23).

Since it is not possible to obtain a closed-form solution for system (26), we make an approximation to obtain an affine stress-strain relationship. Eq.(25) is linearized with respect to the reference configuration L_{ref} :

$$F \sim F_0(\alpha_{AM_p}) + F_1(\alpha_{AM_p})(L - L_{ref}), \quad (27)$$




Figure 3: Force-length relationship from system (26), coefficients taken from Yang et al. (2003). Numerical approximation (black) compared with its approximation (dashed red) linearized with respect to the L_{ref} .

where the analytical expressions for F_0 and F_1 are known and are derived through a Taylor expansion. In order to corroborate the final result, the physiological model in system (26) is compared with its linearized version for different values of α_{AM_p} . The result is presented in Fig.3, which shows that a simple affine constitutive law is indeed valid for an approximated description of the SMC fibers for the retina in physiological regimes.

The constitutive law adopted, which is the simplest law that approximately describes the behaviour of the SMCs is:

$$\sigma_{1D} = k_0 + k_1 \varepsilon_{1D}, \quad (28)$$

where σ_{1D} is the elastic stress, k_0 is the pre-stress of the fiber, k_1 is the elastic modulus, ε_{1D} is the fiber deformation.

Equation (27) can finally be used to identify the parameters k_0, k_1 , after a rescaling. The SMCs are assumed to have a cylindrical shape with a radius r_{smc} . The force is divided by πr_{smc}^2 in order to obtain the stress. The result reads:

$$\begin{aligned} k_0(\alpha_{AM_p}) &= \frac{1}{\pi r_{smc}^2} F_0(\alpha_{AM_p}), \\ k_1(\alpha_{AM_p}) &= \frac{1}{\pi r_{smc}^2} F_1(\alpha_{AM_p}), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In practice, it is difficult to have access to the value of α_{AM_p} , which depends on many

factors. This is why in the following section, the functions k_0 and k_1 will be simply assumed to depend on an ‘activation parameter’ ζ :

$$\sigma_{1D} = k_0(\zeta) + k_1(\zeta)\varepsilon_{1D}, \quad (30)$$

3.5 The fiber layer

In this section, the equations describing the dynamics of an SMC fiber layer are detailed. The main hypotheses are the following:

- the fibers are perfectly attached to the shell;
- the fibers are characterized by an affine stress-strain constitutive law.

The kinematic hypothesis implies that the deformation of the fibers equals the deformation of the underlying shell structure in the direction of the fibers.

Let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{x}}(\Gamma)$ be a unitary vector belonging to the tangent space of Γ defined at the point $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma$. The deformation of the fiber in the \mathbf{w} direction can thus be written as:

$$\varepsilon_{1D} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{w} = -d_1 \eta + \frac{d_2}{2} \eta^2 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \eta^T P_{\mathbf{w}} \nabla \eta, \quad (31)$$

where the scalar coefficients d_j and the projector $P_{\mathbf{w}}$ are defined as $d_1 = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{w}$, $d_2 = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{w}$, $P_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}$. The constitutive stress-strain relationship is given by Eq.(30).

Let $\varrho_{\mathbf{w}}$ be the fraction of the total number of fibers aligned in the direction \mathbf{w} . The elastic energy of the fibers aligned in the direction \mathbf{w} is of the form:

$$\psi^{\mathbf{w}}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega} \varrho_{\mathbf{w}} [k_0 + k_1 \varepsilon_{1D}(\eta)] \varepsilon_{1D}(\eta) h^f \sqrt{a} d\boldsymbol{\xi} + \int_{\omega} r_{\mathbf{w}} h^f \sqrt{a} d\boldsymbol{\xi}, \quad (32)$$

where h^f is the thickness of the smooth muscle cell layer, $r_{\mathbf{w}}$ represents the potential energy of a force acting on the fibers aligned with the direction \mathbf{w} .

The equilibrium equations are introduced in weak form as the scalar product with a test function of the Frechet derivative of the energy with respect to the displacement:

$$\Psi^{\mathbf{w}}(\eta, \chi) = \int_{\omega} \varrho_{\mathbf{w}} \nabla \chi^T \left[k_0 + k_1 \left(-d_1 + \frac{d_2}{2} \eta^2 \right) + \frac{k_1}{4} W \right] P_{\mathbf{w}} \nabla \eta + \quad (33)$$

$$\varrho_{\mathbf{w}} \left[k_0 (-d_1 + d_2 \eta) + k_1 \left(-d_1^2 \eta - \frac{3d_1 d_2}{2} \eta^2 + \frac{d_2^2}{2} \eta^3 \right) + \frac{k_1}{2} (-d_1 + d_2 \eta) W \right] \chi + \quad (34)$$

$$(\delta_{\eta} r_{\mathbf{w}}) \chi h^f \sqrt{a} d\boldsymbol{\xi}, \quad (35)$$

where $W = (\nabla \eta^T P_{\mathbf{w}} \nabla \eta)$. We remark that the contribution of the first line is of membrane type, whereas the second line contains algebraic terms in the test function and hence it renders a nonlinear spring-like behavior.

When $\eta = 0$ and the SMCs are not activated, i.e. $\zeta = \bar{\zeta}$, the reference configuration is the equilibrium configuration only if the stress exerted by the fibers due to their pre-stress is balanced by the underlying shell. By injecting $\eta = 0, \zeta = \bar{\zeta}$ into Eq.(35), we obtain:

$$\int_{\omega} (-\varrho_{\mathbf{w}} \bar{k}_0 d_1 + \delta_{\eta} r_{\mathbf{w}}) \chi h^f \sqrt{a} d\xi = 0, \quad (36)$$

hence, for any arbitrary test function χ , the following holds

$$r_{\mathbf{w}} = \varrho_{\mathbf{w}} \bar{k}_0 d_1 \eta, \quad (37)$$

where $\bar{k}_0 = k_0(\bar{\zeta})$.

Remark 2. The consequence of Eq.(37) is the appearance, in the balance of the normal forces on Γ , of a force term. This is the main result of the SMCs contraction. Indeed, by combining Eq.(35) and Eq.(37) and, by setting $k_1 = 0$ for the sake of simplicity, we get:

$$\Psi^{\mathbf{w}}(\eta, \chi) = \int_{\omega} \varrho_{\mathbf{w}} k_0(\zeta) d_2 \eta \chi + \varrho_{\mathbf{w}} k_0(\zeta) \nabla \chi^T P_{\mathbf{w}} \nabla \eta h^f \sqrt{a} d\xi + \quad (38)$$

$$\int_{\omega} \varrho_{\mathbf{w}} (\bar{k}_0 - k_0(\zeta)) d_1 \chi h^f \sqrt{a} d\xi. \quad (39)$$

This weak formulation represents the contribution to the structure equation due to the fibers in direction \mathbf{w} . The effect of the activation on the wall mechanics is twofold: firstly, there is a change in the constants that characterize the passive behavior of the structure (namely in the spring- and membrane-like contributions), and secondly, a force term of the following form appears:

$$\int_{\omega} \varrho_{\mathbf{w}} (\bar{k}_0 - k_0(\zeta)) d_1 \chi h^f \sqrt{a} d\xi. \quad (40)$$

When ζ reaches its maximum value, this term is negative, representing a force in the normal direction that induces a negative displacement. Note that the sign of d_1 depends on the curvature along the fiber direction and it is, in general, negative when the normal is pointing outward.

Remark 3. In order to get an intuitive insight in the normal equilibrium for the structure, an example in an idealized setting is proposed. The structure is a cylinder of radius R , a linear Koiter shell is considered, that is in equilibrium under a pressure load. The displacement with respect to the reference configuration is constant and, hence, space and time derivatives of the displacement field vanish. Under these conditions the equilibrium displacement is the solution of an algebraic equation:

$$\left(\frac{Eh^{\kappa}}{1 - \nu^2} c_1 + \varrho_{\mathbf{w}} h^f (k_0(\zeta) d_2 - k_1 d_1^2) \right) \bar{\eta} = p - p_{iop} - \varrho_{\mathbf{w}} h^f d_1 (\bar{k}_0 - k_0(\zeta)), \quad (41)$$

the coefficient of $\bar{\eta}$ depends on both the mechanical properties of the structure ($E, \nu, \varrho_{\mathbf{w}}, k_0(\zeta), k_1$) and on its geometrical properties (c_1, d_1, d_2 which depend on the curvature). The force term depends on both the mechanical properties ($\varrho_{\mathbf{w}}, k_0(\zeta)$) and the geometry d_1 . Let us separate the shell contribution, the fiber contribution and the transmural pressure:

$$\left(\frac{Eh^\kappa}{1-\nu^2} c_1 \right) \bar{\eta} = \Delta p - \sigma_{fiber}, \quad (42)$$

where σ_{fiber} denotes the active and passive contributions of the fibers. When the tangential stress in a cylinder is computed by using the Koiter shell model, the following is obtained:

$$\sigma_\theta = \frac{E}{1-\nu^2} \frac{\bar{\eta}}{R}. \quad (43)$$

Injecting this relationship into the equilibrium equation yields:

$$\sigma_\theta \frac{h^\kappa}{R} = \Delta p - \sigma_{fiber}. \quad (44)$$

The shell (arteriolar endothelium) is in equilibrium under the load exerted by the fibers and the transmural pressure. The tangential wall tension is simply the integral of the stress across the thickness (by making the assumption of constant stress in the section, the tension is given by $\sigma_\theta h^\kappa$), so that the equilibrium equation reduces to the Laplace law.

Remark 4. In general, the fibers are not parallel to only one direction. In what follows, two linearly independent unitary vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{x}}(\Gamma)$ and the associated fiber fractions $\varrho_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\varrho_{\mathbf{w}}$ defined in each point of Γ are considered. In such a case the two associated energy fields $\psi^{\mathbf{w}}$ and $\psi^{\mathbf{v}}$ sum up. If medical imaging or histological examination provide the fiber orientations, this information can be used to set $\mathbf{v}, \varrho_{\mathbf{v}}, \mathbf{w}$ and $\varrho_{\mathbf{w}}$. When this information is missing, these values can be based on a qualitative knowledge of the fibers orientation. For example, it is indicated in the study by Pournaras et al.²⁸ p. 287 that the smooth muscle cells are oriented both circularly and longitudinally. One possible choice paralle is therefore to take the principal direction of curvature, and $\varrho_{\mathbf{v}} = \varrho_{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{1}{2}$.

With this choice, the fiber layer behaves as an isotropic homogeneous membrane.

3.6 Summary of Section 3

For the sake of clarity, we now summarize the model derived in the previous sections. The whole system is made of the Stokes equations

$$\begin{cases} \langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_\Omega + a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + b(p, \mathbf{v}) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, t > 0, \\ \langle \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, q \rangle_\Omega = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, t > 0, \\ \langle (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{u} + \eta \nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{n}), \mathbf{w} \rangle_\Gamma = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, t > 0, \\ \sigma(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n} = -p_{in}(t) \mathbf{n} & \text{on } \Gamma_{in}, t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

and by the continuity of the normal velocity and of the normal stresses at the vessel wall:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_s h_s \langle \partial_{tt}^2 \eta, \chi \rangle_\Gamma + \Psi^s(\eta, \chi) + \langle p_{iop}, \chi \rangle_\Gamma = \langle p + \eta \nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n}, \chi \rangle_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma, t > 0, \\ \langle \partial_t \eta, \chi \rangle_\Gamma = \langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \eta \nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \chi \rangle_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma, t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

The behavior of the two-layer structure is modeled by $\Psi^s(\eta, \chi)$, defined in Equation (7).

4. Autoregulation and pressure feedback

As indicated in Eq.(30), the pre-stress and the elastic modulus of the SMCs are assumed to be a function of a parameter ζ describing the activation of the SMCs. Inspired by Arciero *et al.*¹³, we use the following expression for k_0 :

$$k_0(\zeta) = k_{0,ref} + k_{0,a}^{max} S(\zeta), \quad S(\zeta) = \frac{1 - e^{-s(\zeta - p_{ref})}}{1 + \frac{1}{\omega} e^{-s(\zeta - p_{ref})}}, \quad (47)$$

where p_{ref} is a given reference pressure, $k_{0,ref}$ is the pre-stress in the absence of activation, $\omega = -k_{0,a}^{min}/k_{0,a}^{max}$, where $k_{0,a}^{max}$ and $k_{0,a}^{min}$ are given parameters. The parameter s affects the slope of the curve and can be estimated by using

$$s = \frac{1}{p_{max} - p_{ref}} \ln \left(\frac{1 + q/\omega}{1 - q} \right),$$

where \ln is the natural logarithm, and p_{max} is the value for which the active component of the pre-stress is equal to $qk_{0,a}^{max}$. A similar behavior could be assumed for the elastic modulus of the fibers k_1 , but in what follows, we simply suppose that $k_1 = 0$. A plot of the sigmoid function is presented in Figure 4 for typical values of the parameters.

We now present our strategy to compute the activation parameter ζ . As mentioned in Section 3.4, SMCs react to changes in the concentration of calcium ions. The calcium ion concentration is in turn varied by several regulatory mechanisms.^{5,28,33} Since the focus of this work is the mechanical aspect of autoregulation, we make the simplifying assumption that the activation variable ζ directly depends on the feeding pressure. The rationale behind this choice is that an increase in the feeding pressure triggers the mechanisms that will eventually affect the activation state of the smooth muscle cells. If models describing regulatory mechanisms and the concentration of calcium ions along the network were available, they could be used to provide a more physiological expression of ζ .

We estimate ζ by using the mean values of the incoming pressure over the different cardiac cycles. These values are used to reconstruct ζ as a piecewise linear




Figure 4: Sigmoid function for the parameters $\omega = 0.8, P_{max} = 50, q = 0.75$. $S(\zeta)$ on the y -axis and ζ on the x -axis.

function in time, in the following way:

$$\begin{cases} \zeta(t) = \frac{1}{T_{i+1}-T_i}((T_{i+1}-t)\zeta_i + (t-T_i)\zeta_{i+1}) & \forall t \in (T_i, T_{i+1}) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots \\ \zeta_{i+1} = \frac{1}{(T_{i+1}-T_i)|\Sigma_{in}|} \int_{T_i}^{T_{i+1}} \int_{\Sigma_{in}} p \, d\Gamma \, dt, & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots \\ \zeta_0 = p_{ref} \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

where Σ_{in} denotes the inlet of the computational domain and T_i the starting time of the i -th heart cycle.

As usual in computational hemodynamics, the 3D domain is truncated and the downstream vessels is taken into account by using 0D Windkessel models. More precisely, each terminal vessel in our 3D network is connected to the venous pressure via an RCR compartment. For simplicity, all these compartments are assumed to share the same values for the resistances (R_{prox} and R_{distal}) and the capacitance (C). The autoregulation in the Windkessel element is governed by the following hypotheses: the proximal resistance R_{prox} remains constant over time; the distal resistance is given by:

$$R_{distal}(\zeta) = R_{distal,ref} + \alpha S(\zeta) R_{distal,ref}, \quad (49)$$

with $\alpha = 1 - R_{distal,max}/R_{distal,ref}$; the capacitance varies so that the characteristic time $\tau = R_{distal}(\zeta)C(\zeta)$ remains constant.

5. Numerical simulations

This section is structured as follows. First, we present a validation of the model on a test case where the mean incoming pressure coincides with the reference pressure. The values obtained for the velocity are compared with the experimental data presented in the work by Riva *et al.*³⁹ Second, we present a numerical experiment where the mean incoming pressure is varied. Different flow rate-incoming pressure curves are obtained for different values of the maximum pre-stress of the fibers.

5.1 Reference case and validation

5.1.1 Data

The geometry was obtained using a retinal fundus image in the Drive dataset.⁴⁰ The image was segmented and the vessels tree reconstructed by using the algorithms presented in the studies by Al-Diri *et al.*⁴¹ and Calivà *et al.*⁴² We considered only the inferior temporal arteriole and its branches. Twenty-five segments were obtained via the segmentation algorithm. The 3D tree was reconstructed from the 2D image by first assuming a circular section for the vessels and then by projecting the results over a sphere. The detailed bifurcations were not available from the segmentation and they were reconstructed using B-splines. The mesh generation was carried out using `gmsh`⁴³ and then refined using `Feflo.a`, an anisotropic local remeshing software developed at Inria. Figure 5 shows two snapshots of the geometry used for the computations. The computational mesh has 822,071 tetrahedra and 105,604 triangles on the surface, for a total number of vertices of 165,238.

In the study by Guidoboni *et al.*⁴⁴, the authors suggest taking a pressure at the inlet of the central retinal artery that is equal to two thirds of the mean brachial arterial pressure. With typical values of systolic and diastolic brachial pressure (120/80 mmHg), this gives 62 mmHg. In addition, a pressure drop of about 20 mmHg is assumed to take place from the upstream of the central retinal artery to the downstream of the lamina cribrosa. Thus, we choose $p_{ref} = 40 \text{ mmHg}$, which is a reference value for the pressure at the beginning of our 3D network. Regarding the outlet boundary condition we set the venous pressure at 20 mmHg, which is compatible with the value used as a reference in the work by Guidoboni *et al.*⁴⁴ after the venules compartment. The reference values for the Windkessel parameters are $R_{distal} = 6 \cdot 10^8 \text{ P cm}^{-3}$, $R_{prox} = 6 \cdot 10^7 \text{ P cm}^{-3}$, $C = 1.67 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ s cm}^3 \text{ P}^{-1}$. The blood viscosity is given by $\nu^f = 0.03 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and its density by $\rho^f = 1 \text{ g/cm}^3$. The structure parameters are the Young modulus of the endothelium $E = 0.05 \text{ MPa}$ and its Poisson ratio $\nu = 0.5$, the thickness of the endothelium $h^e = 5 \mu\text{m}$ and the total thickness of the vessel $h^s = 25 \mu\text{m}$. The density of the structure is set equal to 1 g/cm^3 . The fiber layer thickness is $h^f = 20 \mu\text{m}$. The mechanical properties of the fibers are the pre-stress $k_0 = 0.4 \text{ MPa}$ and the elastic modulus $k_1 = 0$. The intra-ocular pressure p_{iop} is kept constant at 15 mmHg.




Figure 5: Computational mesh (left) and a broader view with the sphere used for the reconstruction (right).

The duration of the cardiac cycle is $0.8s$, and the duration of diastole is $0.25s$. The time-profile of the incoming pressure is the following:

$$P_{in}(t) = \begin{cases} (p_{sys} - p_{dia}) \sin(\pi t/0.25) + p_{dia} & t \in [0, 0.25] \\ p_{dia} & t \in [0.25, 0.8], \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

where $p_{dia} = 0.9\bar{P}$ and $p_{sys} = p_{dia} + 0.16\pi\bar{P}$ are the diastolic and systolic pressure for a given value of mean pressure \bar{P} . A summary of these choices is presented in Table 1.

5.1.2 Results

In order to have a reference solution where autoregulation does not play a role and that can be used to assess the model, we set $\bar{P} = 40$ mmHg. We use $\mathbf{u} = 0, \eta = 0$ as initial conditions, and observe a quasi periodic behavior after two cardiac cycles. In Figure 6 we report a snapshot from the simulation taken at the time instant $t = 3s$, i.e., during the diastole. The figure also displays the surface of the computational mesh. To compare the results of our simulation with the experimental data presented in the study by Riva *et al.*³⁹, we compute the mean value (in time, over a cardiac cycle) of the blood velocity at the center of different sections of the artery along the network. The value of the diameter is taken as the mean value of the diameters over the segment (between two bifurcations) which contains the section. The chosen points of the network are depicted in Figure 7, and a comparison of the data is given in Figure 8. In

Table 1: Summary of the model parameters. In the table P stands for Poise.

Fluid parameters			
ν^f	$0.03 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$	ρ^f	1 g cm^{-3}
Boundary conditions and Windkessel parameters			
P_{venous}	20 mmHg	P_{iop}	15 mmHg
R_{distal}	$6 \cdot 10^8 \text{ P cm}^{-3}$	$R_{proximal}$	$6 \cdot 10^7 \text{ P cm}^{-3}$
C	$1.67 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ s cm}^3 \text{ P}^{-1}$		
Structure parameters			
ρ^s	1 g cm^{-3}	E	0.05 MPa
ν	0.5	k_0	0.4 MPa
k_1	0	h^k	$5 \mu\text{m}$
h^f	$20 \mu\text{m}$	h^s	$25 \mu\text{m}$




Figure 6: Velocity profiles on some slices of the domain. Values are taken during the diastolic phase of the fourth cardiac cycle ($t = 3\text{s}$).




Figure 7: Points where the mean velocity has been measured for comparison with experimental data presented in Riva *et al.*³⁹

order to have a fair comparison, the mean velocity over time has been computed using the same formula as was used in the study by Riva *et al.*³⁹ (one third of the systolic velocity plus two thirds of the diastolic velocity).

The results of the model are within the same range of values as the experimental data. The two sets of points also show a similar variability. However, there is a region for which either the velocity has been underestimated or the diameter has been overestimated (around $100\ \mu\text{m}$, $1\ \text{cm/s}$). We can provide two explanations for this discrepancy. Firstly, the errors might come from the segmentation: the points with the lowest velocity are in the terminal vessels, which are the smallest and therefore the most difficult to capture with the segmentation algorithms. Secondly, it is possible that the assumption that all terminal vessels experience the same downstream equivalent resistance is too rough an approximation of reality.

5.2 Autoregulation

5.2.1 Data

For this test case we used the geometry and the data reported in Section 5.1.1. The control mechanism has been detailed in Section 4. For the present simulation, the parameters defining the sigmoid activation function (see Eq.(47)) are the following: $\omega = 0.8$ and $P_{max} = 50\text{mmHg}$, $q = 75\%$.




Figure 8: Comparison between the experimental data taken from Riva *et al.*³⁹ Mean velocity in time (y -axis) and diameter (x -axis, arteries on the left side and veins on the right side). Experimental data are depicted by circles: red refers to arteries and blue refers to veins. Data computed by the proposed model are depicted by green triangles.

5.2.2 Results

In Figure 9 the relationship between the flow rate and the pressure at the inlet is depicted for a representative cardiac cycle in two distinct scenarios: without autoregulation (in blue) and with autoregulation (in red). Each loop is a cardiac cycle for a simulation performed by imposing a different mean incoming pressure. The chosen values are $\bar{P} = [30, 40, 50, 60, 70]$ mmHg. In the autoregulated case, the parameters determining the control intensity are: $k_{0,a}^{max} = 0.1$ MPa and $\alpha = 0.15$. It should be noted that for a mean pressure of $\bar{P} = 40$ mmHg there is only one loop since it is the reference pressure, *i.e.*, the pressure for which the smooth muscle cells maintain their reference length. By observing these curves it can be inferred that the control mechanism acts directly on the vessels resistance. In particular, when the mean pressure is higher than the reference pressure, the flow rate is diminished, whereas when the mean pressure is lower, the SMCs action tends to increase the flow rate.

Each point of the curves represented in Figure 10 is the value of the flow entering the network for a given value of inlet pressure. The mean inlet pressure \bar{P} is varied from 30 mmHg to 70 mmHg. The parameters governing autoregulation are chosen as follows: $k_{0,a}^{max}$ takes three values: 0 (no autoregulation), 0.05 MPa and 0.1 MPa. The autoregulation parameter α , defined in equation (49), indicates how much the




Figure 9: Normalized flow rate (y -axis) with respect to incoming pressure (x -axis). Each circle represents one cardiac cycle from a different simulation. The blue circles correspond to the simulations without autoregulation: $K_{0,a}^{max} = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$, for different values of \bar{P} . The red circles refer to the autoregulation parameters $K_{0,a}^{max} = 0.1\text{MPa}$ and $\alpha = 0.15$.

downstream circulation is able to vary its overall resistance. Two different cases were considered: $\alpha = 0$ (no autoregulation) and $\alpha = 0.15$ (for which the maximum value of distal resistance is equal $1.15R_{distal,ref}$). For each numerical experiment four cardiac cycles are simulated. The values of the flow are taken in the last cycle and the flow is normalized with respect to the value obtained for $\bar{P} = p_{ref} = 40\text{mmHg}$. Figure 10 shows that using $k_{0,a}^{max} = 0.1\text{MPa}$ and using autoregulation in the Windkessel model (circles, green), it is possible to replicate a plateau in the flow rate-pressure relationship. This result is similar to that obtained in the study by Arciero *et al.*¹³ with a 0D approach. The impact of autoregulation in the Windkessel for this choice of parameters can be observed by comparing the green curve (circles) with the red one (down triangles), which was obtained with the same $k_{a,0}^{max}$ and by turning the Windkessel autoregulation off ($\alpha = 0$).

6. Limitations and conclusion

In this work, we have proposed a first attempt at modeling autoregulation in a 3D network of retinal arteries. Our approach is based on a simplified fluid-structure model whose computational cost is of the same order as the cost of a pure fluid problem. The




Figure 10: Autoregulation curves. Mean incoming pressure on the fourth cardiac cycles (x -axis) and normalized flow rate over the fourth cardiac cycle (y -axis). Different lines correspond to different values for the autoregulation parameters. Each point on a curve refers to a different simulation with a given mean incoming pressure.

model used for the wall includes smooth muscle fibers, whose active constitutive law has been derived by approximating physiological models proposed in the literature. The simulations performed in a real network of 25 segments of retinal arteries have provided velocities which are in good agreement with published experimental data. By varying the parameters of the active component of the constitutive law, we have been able to reproduce flow rate-pressure curves which are comparable with experimental data or results obtained with 0D models. In particular, a characteristic plateau of the flow rate has been found for pressures ranging from 40 to 60 mmHg.

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to propose 3D simulations of blood flow in a real network of retinal arteries, including an autoregulation mechanism. It can be viewed as a first step toward a more complete 3D model of the hemodynamic of the eye. In spite of encouraging results, many limitations remain and the model could be improved in various ways.

Firstly, the diameter of the vessels considered in this work is below $200\ \mu\text{m}$, which means that the hemodynamics is in a microcirculation regime.^{27,28} In such vessels, the Fahraeus effect, the Fahraeus-Lindqvist effect and plasma skimming may be relevant in determining the distribution of hematocrit and the velocity profile.^{45,46}

Secondly, our autoregulation model describes how smooth muscle fibers control